The Laboratory: A Peek Inside the Black Box

Sheri Heldstab CHESTER LabNet

Cleaner Air Oregon Workshop 5 November 2020

"Magic Numbers"



Laboratory Accreditation – Is It Important?

Accreditation by ORELAP requires the Laboratory to:

- 1. Document every stage of data generation.
- 2. Have policies and procedures in place for data that may be compromised.
- 3. Maintain all equipment, both analytical and support equipment.
- 4. Demonstrate that equipment is working properly.
- 5. Provide an "audit trail" capable of tracing all data back to National Standards.
- 6. Be audited a minimum of every other year by an outside auditor to ensure compliance with all requirements.

Laboratory Accreditation = No Surprises

Opening the Black Box



Inside the Black Box – Sample Receipt

- 1. Samples checked for condition:
 - Broken?
 - Leaking?
 - Correct Temperature?
- 2. Samples checked against Chain of Custody:
 - All samples present?
 - All sample ID's match?
 - Analysis clearly defined on Chain of Custody?
 - Sample information included?
- 3. Corrective actions, if necessary (contact client).
- 4. Samples logged in:
 - Given unique Laboratory ID in database
 - Passed along to analysts

Inside the Black Box – Sample Preparation

Can be as easy as loading into an autosampler.

- Method 26/26A
- CTM027/ST-1B
- Method 316
- Method 6 & 8 (ALT133)

~OR~

Can take up to a month.

- Method 29 evaporation time
- Method 13B 3 days of hands-on preparation
- Method 202 convoluted preparation
- Method 5 evaporation, especially when water present

Inside the Black Box – Sample Analysis

Analysis time is "per sample, per analyte"

One metal in a sample may only take 3 minutes, while 18 metals may result in over a week of analytical time.

Some methods require all samples be run in duplicate – if one analysis requires 15 minutes (M26/26A), then one sample requires 30 minutes. (Longest run = 52 hours)

Gravimetric methods require desiccation times of 24 hours followed by 6 hours: tare weighed twice, gross weighed twice, for 60 hours of total desiccation time, not including actual time to weigh the vessels.

If results do not pass internal and method-specific Quality Controls, repeat until resolved.



Inside the Black Box – Reporting Results

- All data is checked for Quality Control, both numerical and typographical.
- Data is reported to clients.
- CLN: data is reported both "at the instrument" and "per sample" with *detection limits* for each.

Inside the Black Box – Detection Limits

Per NELAC, a Detection Limit is "The minimum result which can be reliably discriminated from a blank with a predetermined confidence level." (99% chance not a false positive – does not account for false negatives)

ORELAP/NELAC accredited labs must follow "Definition and Procedure for the Determination of the Method Detection Limit, Revision 2" (aka "MUR method")

- Standard deviation of low concentration standards.
- Standard deviation of, and concentration of, blank samples.
- Taken through entire process, including all preparatory steps.
- Verified annually.

Does not take into account sampling or mathematical activities.

Inside the Black Box – Detection Limits, How Low Can You Go?



- Some methods, samples can be "concentrated" (M26), some methods require concentration (M29). Interferents will also be concentrated.
- Can sometimes be lowered by pulling more gas volume, but risk increasing interferents as well as target analyte.
- Detection Limit *only* takes into account uncertainty from laboratory operations. Actual Detection Limit would be higher if all uncertainty accounted for.

Inside the Black Box – Reported Detection Limits

- Laboratory Method Detection Limit reported as "at the instrument" and "per sample".
- "At the instrument" DL determined by EPA MUR Method, in keeping with ORELAP accreditation.
- "Per sample" DL = "At the instrument" DL × sample volume (liquid).
- (Sample volume may be a digestate volume if the entire sample is consumed during preparation).

Detection Limits – Points to Ponder

Do we really need to see that low?

Mercury DL = 7 ppt (part per trillion)

Population of Earth = \sim 8 billion (7 ppt = \sim 1/125 ppb; 125B / 8B = \sim 16)

Could identify 1 individual from ~16 earths' worth of people with 99% confidence that that individual existed. Would need 5 people in ~16 earths to accurately quantify the number of individuals found (LoQ = 5x DL).

Detection Limits – Points to Ponder

But...

Our lungs are incredibly efficient at exchanging gases and even particulates as the blood–gas barrier has a very large area and is extremely thin.

Some pollutants are persistent, and accumulate in the environment over years.

Some pollutants $(PM_{2.5})$ are small enough to enter the blood stream as a particle, and can bio-accumulate.

Some chemicals are just plain nasty pieces of work.

Recap of the Laboratory "Black Box"



Recap of Detection Limits

- 1. Accredited laboratories must follow the EPA's method for the determination of detection limits (this is a good thing).
- 2. Detection Limits represent the point at which there is a 99% certainty that the result reported is not a false positive.
- 3. Detection Limits are a function of sample preparation, sample analysis, and the instrumentation used during analysis.

Questions?

Ŋ

Sheri Heldstab CHESTER LabNet

sheldstab@chesterlab.net

